

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/928,441	08/14/2001	Kazuhiko Tokuda	000958A 8352		
23850	7590 01/31/2006	EXAMINER			
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			CHANG, RICK KILTAE		
1725 K STR SUITE 1000	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006		3729		

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/928,441	TOKUDA, KAZUHIKO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rick K. Chang	3729		

	Rick K. Chang	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	corresponding number of finally rej						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil rided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	i of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ea.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but			ice because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)					
	SIGNADI	CHANG					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Pa

Part of Paper No. 01262006

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amended subject matter raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.